

Technology report

The multimorbidity cluster analysis tool: identifying combinations and permutations of multiple chronic diseases using a record-level computational analysis

Cite this article: Nicholson K, Bauer M, Terry AL, Fortin M, Williamson T, Thind A. The multimorbidity cluster analysis tool: identifying combinations and permutations of multiple chronic diseases using a record-level computational analysis. *J Innov Health Inform.* 2017;24(4):339–343.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.14236/jhi.v24i4.962>

Copyright © 2017 The Author(s). Published by BCS, The Chartered Institute for IT under Creative Commons license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Author address for correspondence:

Kathryn Nicholson
Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics
Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry
Centre for Studies in Family Medicine
Western University, ON N6A 3K7, Canada;
The Western Centre for Public Health and Family
Medicine
1151 Richmond Street
London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada
Email: Kathryn.Nicholson@schulich.uwo.ca

Accepted November 2017

Kathryn Nicholson

Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Centre for Studies in Family Medicine, Western University, ON, Canada

Michael Bauer

Department of Computer Science, Western University, ON, Canada

Amanda L. Terry

Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Department of Family Medicine, Schulich Interfaculty Program in Public Health, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Centre for Studies in Family Medicine, Western University, ON, Canada

Martin Fortin

Department of Family Medicine and Emergency Medicine, Université de Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

Tyler Williamson

Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, AB, Canada

Amardeep Thind

Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Department of Family Medicine, Interfaculty Program in Public Health, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Centre for Studies in Family Medicine, Western University, ON, Canada

ABSTRACT

Introduction Multimorbidity, or the co-occurrence of multiple chronic health conditions within an individual, is an increasingly dominant presence and burden in modern health care systems. To fully capture its complexity, further research is needed to uncover the patterns and consequences of these co-occurring health states. As such, the Multimorbidity Cluster Analysis Tool and the accompanying Multimorbidity Cluster Analysis Toolkit have been created to allow researchers to identify distinct clusters that exist within a sample of participants or patients living with multimorbidity.

Development The tool and toolkit were developed at Western University in London, ON, Canada. This open-access computational program (JAVA code and executable file) was developed and tested to support an analysis of thousands of individual records and up to 100 disease diagnoses or categories.

Application The computational program can be adapted to the methodological elements of a research project, including type of data, type of chronic disease reporting, measurement of multimorbidity, sample size and research setting. The computational program will identify all existing, and mutually exclusive, combinations and permutations within the dataset. An application of this computational program is provided as an example, in which more than 75,000 individual records and 20 chronic disease categories resulted in the detection of 10,411 unique combinations and 24,647 unique permutations among female and male patients.

Discussion The tool and toolkit are now available for use by researchers interested in exploring the complexities of multimorbidity. Its careful use, and the

comparison between results, will be valuable additions to the nuanced understanding of multimorbidity.

Keywords: chronic disease, comorbidity, disease clustering, multimorbidity, multiple chronic conditions

INTRODUCTION

In examining the burden of multimorbidity, or the co-occurrence of multiple chronic health conditions within an individual, previous literature has focused on the descriptive counting of singular diseases and the prevalence of two or more and three or more chronic diseases.¹⁻⁷ As such, the majority of research to date has been limited to reporting pairs or triplets of chronic disease occurrences. However, the full physical and psychological impact of multimorbidity can be highly dependent on the specific disease clusters that an individual is living with, in addition to the severity of disease and an individual's ability to cope with the associated challenges.⁸⁻¹¹ The analysis of cumulative interactions and the comprehensive reporting of the unique clusters occurring within a cohort will help lead to a more nuanced understanding of the complexity of multimorbidity.¹²⁻¹⁴ While epidemiological studies will likely show consistent trends in the rising prevalence of overall multimorbidity, research now must focus on understanding how specific clusters of diseases occur over time.

A computational analysis can be used to explore and detect all distinct profiles that exist among a sample of participants or patients within a health-related database (e.g. holding clinical, administrative or self-reported data). To detect these distinct profiles and because of the ongoing lack of a gold standard measure of multimorbidity, researchers must decide on the number and type of chronic disease categories that will be included. To inform this selection, a systematic review has indicated that at least 12 chronic diseases should be included to appropriately capture the burden of multimorbidity.¹⁵ Although including more than 12 chronic diseases in the study of multimorbidity is ideal, detecting all possible combinations (i.e. unordered clusters) and permutations (i.e. ordered clusters) within a cohort or dataset can become challenging to compute using common statistical programs (e.g. Statistical Analysis System, Stata or R). However, these results are valuable to report the prevalence of each unique cluster and to identify health outcomes from each cluster type.

The Multimorbidity Cluster Analysis Tool (herein referred to as Tool) and the accompanying Multimorbidity Cluster Analysis Toolkit (herein referred to as Toolkit) have been created to allow researchers to identify distinct clusters or clinical profiles that exist within a sample of participants or patients living with multimorbidity. Importantly, this tool can be adapted for use in research involving varying data sources, diagnostic or disease-reporting systems, multimorbidity measurements, sample sizes and research settings. Its intent is to facilitate a consistent approach to identifying sub-groups of participants or patients with multimorbidity, based on cluster type

and cluster sequence. This information is driven by the data and the corresponding results should be interpreted carefully. While this information can be a helpful resource for research, clinical care and health policy decisions, the results should be interpreted within the appropriate context. Moreover, the term 'disease category' was used in this report as its purpose was not to differentiate among chronic disease categories, chronic or acute conditions, symptoms or risk factors.

DEVELOPMENT

The tool and toolkit were developed by a research team at Western University from the Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics and the Department of Computer Science. The computational program was developed and prototyped using the de-identified electronic medical record (EMR) data from the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network (CPCSSN) database. The accompanying toolkit was created to guide research teams in the appropriate adaptation of the computational program to the methodological details of their research project, including the measurement of multimorbidity and structure of the data type. The toolkit contains a number of screenshots of the input and output data structure to ensure high usability of the tool. A section detailing Frequently Asked Questions and an active email address are included within the toolkit to troubleshoot common issues.

The tool (which consists of JAVA code and an executable file) was developed and tested to support up to 250,000 individual records and up to 100 disease diagnoses or disease categories. The development of the tool was conducted in a progressive manner, starting with only 100 records, then 10,000 records and then 150,000 records. Some of the technical challenges that had to be overcome in the development of this computational program were ensuring efficient use of available computer memory and determining the proper and required structure of the input data file.

APPLICATION

As noted, the computational program can be adapted to the specific methodological elements of a research project. These methodological elements can vary in terms of the following: 1) type of data (e.g. databases containing clinical, administrative or self-reported information); 2) type of chronic disease information (e.g. identifying chronic diseases using self-reported diagnoses or specific classification systems such as the International Classification of Diseases or Read Codes); 3) measurement of multimorbidity (e.g. using a pre-determined list of chronic disease categories to measure multimorbidity); and 4) sample size (e.g. from 2 to approximately

250,000 individual records from participants or patients with multimorbidity). The computational program will identify all existing, and mutually exclusive, combinations and permutations within the submitted dataset. A description of each concept is included below. Because the concept of multimorbidity ensures that no single chronic disease diagnosis takes precedence or focus over any other co-occurring disease within an individual^{1,2}, each chronic disease is of equal importance in the conceptualization and analysis of the data.

An example of an unordered cluster or combination of multiple chronic diseases would be those individuals (participants or patients) who have been diagnosed or have self-reported the same three chronic diseases (e.g. obesity, hypertension and cancer), but these diseases did not occur in the same sequence in each individual. For example, some individuals may have been diagnosed with obesity, then hypertension and then cancer. In comparison, other individuals may have been diagnosed with obesity, then cancer and then hypertension. Both of these sets of individuals would still be clustered into the same combination, but not the same permutation. An example of an ordered cluster or permutation of multiple chronic diseases would be those individuals (participants or patients) diagnosed with the same chronic diseases in the same sequence (e.g. obesity, then hypertension and then cancer, in that order). That is, all individuals who were diagnosed with obesity, then hypertension and then cancer would be clustered within the same permutation. In comparison, those individuals who were diagnosed with obesity, then cancer and then hypertension would be clustered within the same combination, but not the same permutation.

This computational program will conduct a record-level categorization to determine the frequency and type of mutually exclusive clusters of diseases (i.e. combinations and permutations) among a sample of individuals living with multimorbidity. Although the tool was developed with a focus on multiple chronic diseases, a similar approach could be carefully applied using a broader scope (e.g.

incorporating risk factors or acute conditions). This analysis could also be tailored to exploring the burden of multimorbidity among a specific subset of participants or patients, which would adopt a co-morbidity approach to the analysis (e.g. focusing on a cohort of individuals all living with diabetes or depression). Regardless, it is important to highlight the fact that the results created by the computational program do not indicate any causal link between disease occurrences.

An analysis was conducted by the authors to demonstrate the use of the tool with the CPCSSN EMR database. This database holds de-identified, longitudinal, record-level clinical data for more than 1,000,000 primary health care patients across Canada.¹⁶ In this application, those patients with multimorbidity as of 30 September 2013 were identified using a list of 20 chronic disease categories. More than 75,000 individual records were input into the computational program, and a total of 6095 unique combinations and 14911 unique permutations were identified among female patients, while 4316 unique combinations and 9736 unique permutations were detected among male patients.¹⁷

DISCUSSION

As a companion to the Multimorbidity Cluster Analysis Tool, the toolkit contains the following items: 1) a summary of the background, development and use of the tool; 2) a summary of the process of creating both the input and output files for the tool and 3) a section detailing Frequently Asked Questions. The process of using the tool is explained in two multi-part steps within the toolkit. Step 1 describes how to create the required structure of the input data file, and Step 2 describes how to run the computational program to produce valid output data files. The basic setup of the input data file was designed to allow for reasonable adaptability to methodological differences between studies. The structure of the input data file is included below and is depicted in Figure 1.

```

File Edit Format View Help
1001 Anxiety 389 C.Musculos 1425 Diabetes
1002 Cardiovasc, 71 Diabetes, 559, Hypertensi, 343, Arthritis, 8, Thyroid, 42, Cancer, 224, StomachPro, 244, Hyperlipid
1003 Hypertensi, 1015 (Cancer) 280 (C.Musculos) 47 (C.Urinary), 371 Arthritis
1004 Hypertensi, 537, C.Musculos
1005 ColonProbi, 180, Cancer, 3004, Thyroid
1006 Hypertensi, 287, C.Musculos, 307, Anxiety, 93, StomachPro, 55, Hyperlipid, 777, Cancer
1007 Anxiety, 2258, C.Musculos, 1036, Hyperlipid
1008 Diabetes, 41, C.Urinary, 8, Arthritis, 138, C.Bronchit, 124, Cardiovasc, 163, C.Musculos, 126, Hypertensi, 919, Anxiety, 767, Obesity
1009 Hypertensi, 610, Cancer, 713, C.Musculos, 92, Arthritis, 412, Obesity
1010 Hypertensi, 303, C.Urinary, 1, Anxiety, 217, Dementia
1011 C.Musculos, 339, C.Bronchit, 487, StomachPro, 500, Arthritis
1012 Arthritis, 44, Osteoporos
1013 Hypertensi, 701, Cancer
1014 C.Musculos, 147, Arthritis, 43, Cancer
1015 Hyperlipid, 0, Thyroid, 215, Anxiety, 176, Arthritis, 335, C.Musculos
1016 Arthritis, 615, Anxiety
1017 C.Musculos, 134, Anxiety
1018 Cardiovasc, 319, Hyperlipid, 758, Hypertensi, 281, Arthritis, 986, Obesity
1019 Diabetes, 350, Hypertensi, 1191, Cancer
1020 Osteoporos, 658, Arthritis
1021 Hypertensi, 1436, Diabetes
1022 Hyperlipid, 138, C.Musculos, 555, Obesity, 657, Arthritis
1023 Hyperlipid, 189, Hypertensi, 237, C.Musculos
1024 Hypertensi, 132, Arthritis, 2070, Obesity, 0, Cardiovasc
1025 Anxiety, 1348, Cancer

```

Figure 1 Structure of an example input data file

Note. Red circle indicates participant/patient identification number. Blue circle indicates condition/disease category. Yellow circle indicates time elapsing between diagnoses

Identification Number, Disease 1, Time 1, Disease 2, Time 2, Disease 3, Time 3,...

The time that elapses between occurrences of a subsequent chronic disease can be explored using the tool (e.g. measured in whole years, months, days or hours), if the data are available. It is important that these time data must be included in the input data file as whole numbers (i.e. without any decimal places). If the date of diagnosis was not recorded in the dataset, or if the study design was a cross-sectional analysis, the tool can still be used. In order for the computational program to run properly, however, it is important to maintain a column for the time variable in the input data file. More specifically, all time data should be recorded as '0'. The structure of the input data file is included below:

Identification Number, Disease 1, Time 1, Disease 2, Time 2, Disease 3, Time 3, ...

Where Time 1, Time 2 and Time 3 = 0

or

Identification Number, Disease 1, 0, Disease 2, 0, Disease 3, 0, ...

The tool and toolkit presented in this report are now available for use by those interested in exploring the profile of

participants or patients living with multimorbidity. Indeed, this work is beginning to inform a more nuanced understanding of the complexities of multiple chronic diseases, and the ordered and unordered sequence in which they occur. Both the tool and toolkit are accessible from www.csd.uwo.ca/faculty/bauer/ under the link called 'Multimorbidity Toolkit'. For the program to run properly, a JAVA runtime environment is needed on the user's system and can be downloaded for free online. Any questions or comments during the use of the tool and toolkit can be directed to mmcluster-analysis@gmail.com. The authors request that appropriate acknowledgement is made in any publications or presentations on studies that have used the tool. The appropriate citation information is provided in the Multimorbidity Cluster Analysis Toolkit.

Contributions

Kathryn Nicholson, Michael Bauer, Amanda Terry, Martin Fortin, Tyler Williamson and Amardeep Thind contributed to study concept. Kathryn Nicholson drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the critical revision of the final manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript submitted for publication.

REFERENCES

1. Boyd CM and Fortin M. Future of multimorbidity research: how should understanding of multimorbidity inform health system design? *Public Health Reviews* 2010;32(2):451–74. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03391611>.
2. van den Akker M, Buntinx F and Knottnerus AJ. Comorbidity or multimorbidity: what's in a name? A review of literature. *European Journal of General Practice* 1996;2:65–70. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3109/13814789609162146>.
3. Stewart M, Fortin M, Britt HC, Harrison CM and Maddocks HL. Comparisons of multi-morbidity in family practice - issues and biases. *Family Practice* 2013;30:473–80. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmt012>. PMID:23666805; PMCID:PMC3722508.
4. Marengoni A, Angleman S, Melis R, Mangialasche F, Karp A, Garmen A, et al. Aging with multimorbidity: a systematic review of the literature. *Ageing Research Reviews* 2011;10:430–39. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2011.03.003>. PMID:21402176.
5. Prados-Torres A, Calderón-Larrañaga A, Hanco-Saavedra J, Poblador-Plou B and van den Akker M. Multimorbidity patterns: a systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2014;67:254–66. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.09.021>. PMID:24472295.
6. France EF, Wyke S, Gunn JM, Mair FS, McLean G and Mercer SW. Multimorbidity in primary care: a systematic review of prospective cohort studies. *British Journal of General Practice* 2012;62(597):e297–e307.
7. Harrison C, Britt H, Miller G and Henderson J. Examining different measures of multimorbidity, using a large prospective cross-sectional study in Australian general practice. *BMJ Open* 2014;4:e004694–e004703.
8. Duguay C, Gallagher F and Fortin M. The experience of adults with multimorbidity: a qualitative study. *Journal of Comorbidity* 2014;4:11–21. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.15256/joc.2014.4.31>.
9. Smith S and O'Dowd T. Chronic diseases: what happens when they come in multiples? *British Journal of General Practice* 2007;57(537):268–70.
10. Gill A, Kuluski K, Jaakkimainen L, Naganathan G, Upshur R and Wodchis WP. "Where do we go from here?" Health system frustrations expressed by patients with multimorbidity, their caregivers and family physicians. *Healthcare Policy* 2014;9(4):73–89. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.12927/hcpol.2014.23811>.
11. Morris RL, Sanders C, Kennedy AP and Rogers A. Shifting priorities in multimorbidity: a longitudinal qualitative study of patient's prioritization of multiple conditions. *Chronic Illness* 2011;7(2):147–61. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1742395310393365>. PMID:21343220.
12. Pefoyo AJK, Bronskill SE, Gruneir A, Calzavara A, Thavorn K, Petrosyan Y, et al. The increasing burden and complexity of multimorbidity. *BMC Public Health* 2015;15:415–26. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-1733-2>. PMID:25903064; PMCID:PMC4415224.
13. Tinetti ME and Basu J. Research on multiple chronic conditions: where we are and where we need to go. *Medical Care* 2014;52(3):s3–s6.

14. Mercer SW, Smith SM, Wyke S, O'Dowd T and Watt GC. Multimorbidity in primary care: developing the research agenda. *Family Practice* 2009;26(2):79–80. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmp020>. PMID:19287000.
15. Fortin M, Stewart M, Poitras M-E, Almirall J and Maddocks H. A systematic review of prevalence studies on multimorbidity: toward a more uniform methodology. *Annals of Family Medicine* 2012;10(2):142–51. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1337>. PMID:22412006; PMCid:PMC3315131.
16. Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network. 2016. Accessed 3 July 2017. Available from: <http://cpcssn.ca>.
17. Nicholson K, Terry AL, Fortin M, Williamson T, Bauer M and Thind A. Examining the prevalence and patterns of multimorbidity in Canadian primary healthcare: a methodologic protocol using a national electronic medical record database. *Journal of Comorbidity* 2015;5:150–61. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.15256/joc.2015.5.61>.